HP Turbine Dense Operating Options and Econo

<u> </u>		Unit Operation			Economics		
Option	Description	Station Max Gross Load	Station Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Station Fuel Consumption (Tons/Year)	Total Capital Cost	Benefit Per Year	Pay Period
	Current Operation	1750 MW	9500	5,268,249	NA NA	NA	1
1	Maintain the same historical maximum load with improved heat rate.						
<u> </u>	A STATE	Same	-214	-118,536	\$9,400,000	\$4,267,282	<u>.</u>
2	Maintain the same historical steam flow and increase turbine/generator output. (Note 6)						
		40 MW	-214	Same	\$9,600,000	\$15,137,280	
3	Install additional plant improvements to increase boiler and other systems capacity. Install moderate NOx reduction equipment (Note 7).					- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	
		100 MW	-214	310,224	\$36,400,000	\$35,784,705	<u> </u>
tem	General Assumptions		推工		or Option 1	* 1	滥
1	Present Value Annuity Factor (P/A, 6.35 %, 20 years):	11.2	supplier) =	cy Increase (guara			Benefit Hrs.) (C
2	Hours of equivalent operation/year (8760X 0.9 Cap. Factor):	7884	Boiler Heat Input Reduction = Proportional to Turbine Efficiency Increase =			2.25%	Paybac /Benefit
3	Cost of Fuel (\$/Ton):	\$36	Net Heat Rate Reduction = 2.25%(9500 BTU/KWH) =BTU/KWH			214	Benefit Annuity
4	Cost of replacement energy (\$/MWH)		Reduced Fuel = (Heat Rate Reduction)(Station Net Load)(Equiv.Hrs)/(Coal BTU/Lb)(2000			118,536	
5	Avoided maintenance cost for the station (Note 1):		Lbs/Ton) = (Tons)			125	
6	High pressure turbine section retrofit:		Benefit per Year = (Reduced Fuel)(Cost of Fuel) = \$			\$4,267,282	Benefit Hrs.) (C
	Cost of additional plant improvements (Note 2):		Payback Period = (Capital Costs - Avoided Costs) /Benefit per Year = Years			0.96	Cost/Y€
8	Cost of moderate NOx control equipment (SNCR):		Benefit to Cost R	Ratio = (Benefit per (Capital Costs - Av	, · ·		Paybac Costs) /
9	Operating cost per year for SNCR (Note 4):	\$2,058,495		Dapital Could 7	/Olded Costo)		Benefit
	Coal (BTU/LB)	11,800					Annuity Increase Rate)(Ir
11	Urea (SNCR Reagent) Utilization per Ton NOx removed (Tons)	1					BTU/Lb
12	Cost of Urea per Ton (Note 3)	\$300				L	_
14	Cost of Great Per Forr (Note 5)	Ψ ⁰ Cγ	1				

ack Modifications c and Environmental Analysis

Environmental			En	vironmental				
		_	SO2 Emissions					
:k	Benefit/Cost	Emissions per	per Year		_			
ears)	Ratio	Year (Tons)	(Tons)	Environmental Assessment	Comments			
				Current Emissions limits are 0.5				
1				lbs/MBTU of NOx and 0.15 Lbs/MBTU of				
1				SO2. Both on rolling 30 day average	Current NOx emissions rate is 0.42 lbs/MBTU			
	NA	26109	2984	basis.	and SO2 is 0.048 lbs/MBTU			
1				Operating in this manner should not				
				trigger a New Source Review (NSR) or				
Ì			₹ <i>></i>	Prevention of Significant Deterioration	There should be no change in NOx and SO2			
	44.07		67	(PSD) review. Variations from year to	emissions rate. Total tons per year reductions			
0.96	11.67	-587	-67	year would have to be explained.	are from decreased coal burn.			
			<u> </u>	Since the NOx and SO2 emissions should				
		\\/	\\	not change, increasing load should not mandate a NSR or PSD review. May be				
, I				difficult to prove as it varies from year to	There should be no change in NOx and SO2			
0.28	39.46	Same	Same	year naturally.	emissions rate.			
				Permitting with moderate NOx control should				
ļ				not be difficult. Current laws would require				
1				0.46 LBS/MBTU limit in the future. Plans for	Assumes NOx emissions will decrease to 0.3			
0.87	12.89	-6362		more aggressive reduction (IE: SCR's) should not be made at this time;	Lbs/MBTU and SO2 emissions will decrease to 0.035 Lbs/MBTU (See Note 5)			
0.07	12.03	-0302	-000	Not be made at this time.	6.033 EDS/MIDTO (Gee Note 3)			
Analysis for Option 2				SALES AND STREET	Notes (Control of the Control of th			
				Note 1 - Avoided maintenance cost equals the normal overhaul cost for the turbine HP				
	placement Energ			section plus the avoided outage extension of 3 days to refurbish the HP nozzle block.				
eriod = (Capital Costs - Avoided Costs)			0.28					
Year = Years Sost Ratio = (Benefit per Year)(PV				Note 2 - Cost of additional plant improvement	ante are the projects necessary to increase the			
tor)/(Capital Costs - Avoided Costs) =				Note 2 - Cost of additional plant improvements are the projects necessary to increase the capacity of all other plant systems to handle the increased load. This includes the cooling				
Stor //(Capital Costs - Avoided Costs) -				towers, main transformer, generator cooling and other systems.				
Analysis for Option 3 to a second				Note 3 - Cost of Urea is based on \$0.75 per gallon for a 50% liquid solution.				
Vear -			\$35,784,705	and the second second				
Year = (Increased Generation)(Equiv. \$35,784,705 of Replacement Energy) - Operating								
				Note 4 - Operating cost for SNCR includes 1% of the capital cost per year for Maintenance.				
	(Capital Costs -	Avoided	0.87					
efit per Year = Years			40.00	Note E 000	A CHARLES OF A CHA			
				Note 5 - SO2 emissions will decrease by installation of a device to increase scrubber				
ztor)/(Capital Costs-Avoided Costs) = uel = (Decreased Heat 310,224				removal efficiency. The device eliminates the "sneakage" of flue gas around the module walls thus improving removal efficiency.				
ased Net Load)(Equiv.Hrs)/(Coal				and any string removal emointry.				
00 Lbs/Ton) = (Tons)				Note 6 - Capital cost includes an extra \$200,000 for minor modifications to main transformer				
				and isophase duct to handle increased load.				
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·-			
+ 1	and a second	$\mathbf{v}_{i} = \mathbf{v}_{i} = \mathbf{v}_{i} = \mathbf{v}_{i}$	}	Note 7 - For this economic analysis moder	ate NOx reduction technology is assumed to be			
				Note 7 - For this economic analysis, moderate NOx reduction technology is assumed to be Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction (SNCR) because it is well proven. Other technologies				
,				such as ultra-low NOx burners will be evaluated before the final decision is made.				
					• ••••			